

REMARKS

Claims 1-15 are pending in the application. It is gratefully acknowledged that the Examiner has allowed Claims 1-5. The Examiner has objected to Claim 15 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The Examiner has objected to Claim 6 based on informalities. The Examiner has rejected Claims 6-9 and 14 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Chow et al. (U.S. Patent 6,778,832) in view of Do (U.S. Patent 6,321,087). The Examiner has rejected Claims 10-13 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Chow et al. in view of Park (U.S. Patent 6,704,581).

Regarding the informalities of Claim 6, the Examiner states that Claim 6 is missing step "b". Claim 6 has been amended accordingly to address this claim objection. Dependent Claims 7-9 have also been amended accordingly. Based on at least the foregoing, withdrawal of the rejection to Claim 6 is respectfully requested.

Regarding the rejection of independent Claim 6, the Examiner states that the combination of Chow et al. in view of Do renders all of the elements of the claim unpatentable. Chow et al. discloses neighborhood cordless service call handoff; Do discloses monitoring data of a selected call in a wireless telecommunication system. Neither of the cited references discloses a mobile communication terminal having BOTH a first module connected to the first mobile communication network to control a call and a second module connected to the second mobile communication network to control a call as recited in Claim 6. Based on at least the foregoing, withdrawal of the rejection to independent Claim 6 is respectfully requested.

Independent Claim 6 is believed to be in condition for allowance. Without conceding the patentability per se of dependent Claims 7-15, these are likewise believed to be allowable by virtue of their dependence on their respective amended independent claims. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of dependent Claims 7-15 is respectfully requested.

Accordingly, all of the claims pending in the Application, namely, Claims 1-15, are believed to be in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner believe that a telephone conference or personal interview would facilitate resolution of any remaining matters, the Examiner may contact Applicant's attorney at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Paul J. Farrell", written in a cursive style.

Paul J. Farrell

Reg. No. 33,494

Attorney for Applicant

DILWORTH & BARRESE
333 Earle Ovington Blvd.
Uniondale, New York 11553
Tel: (516) 228-8484
Fax: (516) 228-8516

PJF/MJM/dr